

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,893	09/29/2006	Daniel Kopf	120391	8707
25944 7590 09/26/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850			EXAMINER	
			HAGAN, SEAN P	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/581,893	KOPF ET AL.			
Examiner-induced interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SEAN HAGAN	2828			
All Participants:	ts: Status of Application: <u>After final rejection</u>				
(1) <u>SEAN HAGAN</u> .	(3)				
(2) Kevin Gualano.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 23 September 2008	Time: <u>2:45pm</u>				
Type of Interview:	int's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: Rejection of claim 1					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
/Minsun Harvey/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2828					
(A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature - if appropriate)			

Application No. 10/581,893

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Final rejection dated 31 March 2008 and all previous actions are noted to not address all claim limitations through an error on the examiner's part. This situation was brought to examiner's attention in a brief conference on 26 June 2008 and applicants were instructed that the situation could be resolved through submission of an after-final response simply noting the examiner's error. Applicants submitted a response on 30 June 2008, but due delays in processing, concern arose regarding the eventual status of the application. This interview summary is to confirm that the finality of the case can NOT be maintained and examiner MUST recid finality.